

Before assigning a human reviewer, answer these five questions

1. What must this person notice, and by when?

Name the review trigger, the consequential discrepancy, the review deadline and the route for work that produces no alert.

2. Which independent evidence can they actually open?

Name the record, matching identifier and freshness rule. Demonstrate access with the assigned account, workstation and accessibility settings.

3. What will they need to interpret it correctly?

Show the relevant statuses, policy and uncertainty rule. Observe a fresh case with routine guidance; record specialist coaching separately.

4. What time and cover will they have?

Specify queue demand, protected review time, interruptions, shift coverage and backup. Include waiting for systems and receiving teams.

5. Who can correct the outcome and accept responsibility?

Name the authorized role, contact route, acceptance evidence, response deadline and fallback. Assign final verification and patient communication.

Workflow / role / shift: _____

Accountable supervisor / review date: _____

Before assignment: attach an observed example and name the owner of each unresolved gap. Record ordinary and specialist-coached performance separately.

If a step fails: investigate missing evidence, inaccessible system, ambiguous presentation, unclear ownership, insufficient capacity or training need.

Proposed Access Red Team handout. Basis: NIST AI RMF 1.0 (2023), GOVERN 3.2; HSE, Managing human failures (undated). Accessed 15 September 2026. Full references and observer sheets: Oversight Walkthrough Workbook.